



Route optimization of garbage trucks to reduce traffic with A-Star

Kaz Erdos

Advisor: Dr. Kevin Crowthers



GARBAGE COLLECTION

Garbage collection suffers from many flaws, creating adverse effects on the environment while wasting taxpayer money and causing disturbances

ROAD CONGESTION
Slow, large trucks make frequent stops and are impossible to safely pass, causing backups in traffic and blockages in roads

GAS CONSUMPTION
Garbage trucks get on average 3 miles per gallon of gas, making them one of the most inefficient vehicles on the road.

FRUSTRATION
Getting stuck behind a garbage truck is one of the most frustrating experiences as a driver, as their sudden stops make it impossible to accelerate smoothly

ECONOMIC DOWNSIDES
As garbage collection is a municipal service, any inefficiencies in the process of garbage collection leads to the expense of all taxpayers in the town. This is on top of the price of collection mandated by each town

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
As trucks stop along their lengthy routes, their engine guzzles gas while in idle, releasing harmful gasses into the environment

KAZ ERDOS

Problem Statement

How do the routes of Garbage Trucks and their size affect traffic in the local area?

Research Objective

The goal of this project is to engineer a new system for garbage collection, which would be applied to the current routes of trucks used as well as smaller, less obtrusive vehicles.

Process

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

GARBAGE TRUCKS

A REROUTING PROCESS

1
RANDOM MAP IS GENERATED

2
PERIMETER NODES AND BASE STATION ARE IDENTIFIED

3
RANDOM COMBINATIONS OF NODES GENERATED

4
A-STAR ROUTING WITH RESPECT TO PROBABLE HEURISTIC FUNCTIONS

5
OUTPUT OF 5 OPTIMAL ROUTES

Results

Total Scores by Trial

The Data Shows...

When A-Star was Adapted to account for all the heuristics, the mean total scores improved over the version of the algorithm only made to optimize waste bin covered by 21.91%. (p = 0.0001)

Algorithm Attempts vs Mean Coverage Rate and Run Time

of Random Attempts

By recording the mean coverage rate and timing the run time of the algorithm over a varying number of random attempts, it was found that 1000 attempts struck the balance of effectiveness and efficiency (Shown in purple).

Conclusions:

- Optimized A-Star was shown to consistently outperform base A-Star
- Base Station variation has more real-world applicability
- Runtime of the algorithm is fast, averaging around 12 seconds
 - Constant Random Road Networks allowed for more objective testing (avoiding bias)

Future Work:

- Utilize Google Maps API to enable real-world testing
- Port application to mobile or a website
- Allow for custom heuristics to be added for specific use
 - Update GUI with more information

VARIABLES

S_c

Coverage Score: % of Houses Covered

S_o

Overlap Score: # of Squares Overlapped

S_f

Fuel / Cost Score

S_{tr}

Traffic Score: Probable Impact

S_r

Road Score: # of Road Changes

S_t

Time Score

S_d

Distance Score

TOTAL SCORE BREAKDOWN

$$TotalScore = S_c - \frac{S_o}{10} - \frac{S_f}{20} + \frac{S_t}{20} - \frac{S_r}{20} - \frac{S_t}{20} - \frac{S_d}{20}$$

MATERIALS

VERSIONS

Proof of Concept 1: A-Star Test

Proof of Concept 2: Traffic/Random Test

Version 1: A-Star + Random, Small Environment

Version 2: Added Large, Random Environments

Version 3: Added Waste Bin Tracking

Version 4: Added Base Station Routing

Version 5: Added Full Traffic Model

Version 6: Added Probable Heuristics

First Versus Final Version

References:

- Edelkamp, S., Jabbar, S., & Lluch-Lafuente, A. (2005). Cost-Algebraic Heuristic Search. *Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 3. 1362-1367.
- Ghaffari, A. (2014). An Energy Efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks using A-star Algorithm. *Journal of Applied Research and Technology*, 12(4). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1665-6423\(14\)70097-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1665-6423(14)70097-5)
- Gunawan, D., Marzuki, I., & Candra, A. (2018). *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 978 012122